CSci 427IW Development of Secure Software Systems Day 2: Memory Safety Introduction

Stephen McCamant University of Minnesota, Computer Science & Engineering

Outline

Memory safety and security

Stack buffer overflow

Reversing the stack

Other safety problems

A large class of problems

- First up, a common class of vulnerabilities in C/C++ programs
- Exist because these languages do not enforce safe use of memory
- An attacker who controls program input can make the program do what they want
- Language shifts burden to code, code is incorrect

Ingredient 1: memory unsafety

- Some logical limitations on memory usage are generally not automatically checked in C/C++.
 Motivated by speed, simplicity, history
- Accessing arrays does not check against the size
- Program must free memory when no longer needed, then not use
 I.e., no garbage collection

Ingredient 2: missing input checks

- Constraints on the untrusted input needed for safety are not checked
- Many normal uses of the program will still work fine E.g., input size not too large
- Attacks occur on inputs that are rare or only an attacker would think of
 - Usually would have been OK to reject these

Recipe for safe code

- Safe code needs to ensure that for any value of the untrusted input, nothing unsafe will happen
- From pure security perspective, stopping with an error message is generally safe
- Like other kinds of bugs, easier said than done

Auditing and testing

- Reading code looking for security problems is called a code audit
 - Often more effective if the reader has fresh eyes
- Many security bugs can be found via testing
 - Especially randomized automatic testing called *fuzzing*



Mitigation: an arms race

- Modern systems also make many changes to the compiler and runtime to try to make attacker's life harder
 - ASLR, DEP, stack canaries, ... more details later
- But for performance and compatibility, usually not complete protections
- Attackers also have fancier techniques to avoid them

Outline

Memory safety and security

Stack buffer overflow

Reversing the stack

Other safety problems

Source-level view (1)

void func(void) {
 char buffer[50];
 write_200_bytes_into(buffer);
}

Source-level view (2)

void func(char *attacker_controlled) {
 char buffer[50];
 strcpy(buffer, attacker_controlled);
}

Demo break 1
 Simple palindrome checker:

 Short input → correct behavior
 Normal too-long input → crash
 Malicious too-long input → exploit

Recall: the stack In compiled C code, local variables and other metadata like return addresses are stored in a memory region called *the stack*Structured as a stack with one *frame* of data per executing function Starts at a numerically large address and grows to smaller addresses











A concrete example

```
void func(char *attacker_controlled) {
    char buffer[50];
    strcpy(buffer, attacker_controlled);
}
```

What might happen in this example, for instance?

Outline

Memory safety and security

Stack buffer overflow

Reversing the stack

Other safety problems

Non-contiguous overflow

- An overflow doesn't have to write to the buffer in sequence
- For instance, the code might compute a single index, and store to it

Heap buffer overflow

- Overwriting a malloced buffer isn't close to a return address
- But other targets are available:
 - Metadata used to manage the heap, contents of other objects

Use after free

- A common bug is to free an object via one pointer and keep using it via another
- Leads to unsafe behavior after the memory is reused for another object

Integer overflow

- Integer types have limited size, and will wrap around if a computation is too large
- Not unsafe itself, but often triggers later bugs
 - E.g., not allocating enough space

Function pointers, etc.

- Other data used for control flow could be targeted for overwriting by an attacker
- Common C case: function pointers
- More obscure C case: setjmp/longjmp buffers

Virtual dispatch

- When C++ objects have virtual methods, which implementation is called depends on the runtime type
- Under the hood, this is implemented with a table of function pointers called a vtable
- An appealing target in attacking C++ code

Non-control data overwrite

- An attacker can also trigger undesired-to-you behavior by modifying other data
- For instance, flags that control other security checks

Format string injection

- The first argument of printf is a little language controlling output formatting
- Best practice is for the format string to be a constant
- An attacker who controls a format string can trigger other mischief