Operator = Ch 11.4 & Appendix F



Highlights

- Overload equals
classy x;
classy y;
y=x; // equals operator

Review: Copy constructor

To avoid double deleting (crashes program) or multiple pointers looking at the same spot...

We have to redefine the copy constructor if we use dynamic memory

The <u>copy constructor</u> is another special constructor (same name as class): Dynamic(); ~Dynamic(); Dynamic(const Dynamic &d);

Review: Copy constructor

When exactly does a copy constructor run?

You use an '=' sign when declaring classes
 You call-by-value a class as an input to a function (i.e. do not use &)
 You return a class from a function)

(see: copyCout.cpp)

Copy constructor: arrays

```
How would you copy a dynamically created
array inside a class?
class rng{
private:
    double* array;
public:
    rng();
    rng(const rng &original) //write me!
};
                          rng::rng()
What if this was a
                              array = new double[10];
                              for(int i=0; i < 10; i++)</pre>
normal array?
                                 array[i] = rand()%100; /0-99
                              }
(see: copyArray.cpp)<sup>}</sup>
```

Copy constructor vs. '='

There is actually two ways in which you can use the '=' sign...

- 1. The copy constructor, if you ask for a box
 on that same line
 classy x;
 classy y = x; // copy constructor
- 2. Operator overload, if you already have
 a box when using '='; classy x;
 classy y; //y gets box
 y=x; // equals operator
 (See: copyVsEquals.cpp)

Overload =

What is the difference between copy and '='?

Overload =

What is the difference between copy and '='?

"copy" is a constructor, so it creates new boxes

'=' is changing the value of an existing box(but same idea: not sharing the same address)

The "proper" way to implement '=' is nuanced... see code comments if you care (See: overloadEquals.cpp)

TLDR

When using "new" in a constructor, you also should make:

Destructor
 Copy constructor
 Overload '=' operator

Typically the built-in functions are not sufficient if you use a "new" or '*'

this

```
Consider the following code:
BadPublic test;
                          class BadPublic {
test.x=3;
                          public:
                              int x;
int* intPtr = &(test.x);
                              int* getX();
intPtr = test.getX();
                              BadPublic* getMe();
                          };
BadPublic* bpPtr = &test;
bpPtr = test.getMe();
```

How do we write getX() and getMe()?

this

Q: It seems you should have information about yourself, but how do you access that?

A: Inside every class, there is a <u>this</u> pointer, that points to yourself Phlius * Aegina Olympia Mantinea Argos Troezen this points IS IS SPARTA! to itself Sparta Messene Pylos* BadPublic* getMe(Methone GV return this; (See: thisSelfPointer.cpp)

typedef

Side note: If you want to rename types, you can do that with <u>typedef</u> command:

original name new synonymous name

typedef int DefinatelyNotAnInt; DefinatelyNotAnInt x; x=3; int y = x;

cout << y; (See: redefiningTypes.cpp)</pre>

If you have always been bothered that we use "double" instead of "real", go ahead and fix it!