

CSci 5271  
Introduction to Computer Security  
Day 3: Low-level vulnerabilities

Stephen McCamant  
University of Minnesota, Computer Science & Engineering

## Outline

Vulnerabilities in OS interaction

Low-level view of memory

Logistics announcements

Basic memory-safety problems

Where overflows come from

More problems

## Race conditions

- Two actions in parallel; result depends on which happens first
- Usually attacker racing with you
  - Write secret data to file
  - Restrict read permissions on file
- Many other examples

## Classic races: files in /tmp

- Temp filenames must already be unique
- But “unguessable” is a stronger requirement
- Unsafe design (`mktemp(3)`): function to return unused name
- Must use `O_EXCL` for real atomicity

## TOCTTOU gaps

- Time-of-check (to) time-of-use races
  - Check it's OK to write to file
  - Write to file
- Attacker changes the file between steps 1 and 2
- Just get lucky, or use tricks to slow you down

## TOCTTOU example

```
int safe_open_file(char *path) {
    int fd = -1;
    struct stat s;
    stat(path, &s);
    if (!S_ISREG(s.st_mode))
        error("only regular files allowed");
    else fd = open(path, O_RDONLY);
    return fd;
}
```

## TOCTTOU example

```
int safe_open_file(char *path) {
    int fd = -1, res;
    struct stat s;
    res = stat(path, &s)
    if (res || !S_ISREG(s.st_mode))
        error("only regular files allowed");
    else fd = open(path, O_RDONLY);
    return fd;
}
```

## TOCTTOU example

```
int safe_open_file(char *path) {
    int fd = -1, res;
    struct stat s;
    res = stat(path, &s)
    if (res || !S_ISREG(s.st_mode))
        error("only regular files allowed");
    else fd = open(path, O_RDONLY);
    return fd;
}
```

## Changing file references

- With symbolic links
- With hard links
- With changing parent directories
- Avoid by instead using:
  - f\* functions that operate on fds
  - \*at functions that use an fd in place of the CWD

## Directory traversal with ..

- Program argument specifies file with directory files
- What about files/../../../../etc/passwd?

## Environment variables

- Can influence behavior in unexpected ways
  - PATH
  - LD\_LIBRARY\_PATH
  - IFS
  - ...
- Also umask, resource limits, current directory

## IFS and why it's a problem

- In Unix, splitting a command line into words is the shell's job
  - String → argv array
  - grep a b c vs. grep 'a b' c
- Choice of separator characters (default space, tab, newline) is configurable
- Exploit system("/bin/uname")

## Outline

Vulnerabilities in OS interaction

Low-level view of memory


Logistics announcements

Basic memory-safety problems


Where overflows come from

More problems


## Overall layout (Linux 32-bit)




## Detail: static code and data




## Detail: heap



## Detail: initial stack



## Example stack frame



## Outline

Vulnerabilities in OS interaction

Low-level view of memory

Logistics announcements

Basic memory-safety problems

Where overflows come from

More problems

## HA1 virtual machines

- Ubuntu 16.04 server, hosted on CSE Labs
  - 64-bit kernel but 32-bit BCMTA, `gcc -m32`
- One VM per group (up to 2 students)
- For allocation, send group list to Aditya `pakki001@umn.edu`
- Don't put off until the last minute

## Other parts of HA1

- Instructions will be on the Assignments area of course web page
- But BCMTA is not ready yet, so first due date will be delayed
- Nothing due before next Wednesday, no hidden vulnerability before next Friday

## Exercise set 1

- Available on website
- Due Wednesday, February 13th, on Canvas
- Groups of 1-2, turn in one copy

## Canvas, discussions

- Canvas page started, will use for assignment turn-in
- Online discussions, including for group formation
- For spoiler questions, email both me and the TA, keep CC'd

## Finding project topics

- Pre-proposal due 2/6 (one week from Wednesday)
- Don't skimp on topic selection: important to success
- Conference papers linked from class site


## More on choosing topics

- Can't: wait to see what part of class you like best
  - But feel free to look ahead
- Think about your group's skills
  - Also: available hardware/software
- Think about where to find novelty
- Topic changes allowed, but will set you back

## Outline

- Vulnerabilities in OS interaction
- Low-level view of memory
- Logistics announcements
- Basic memory-safety problems
- Where overflows come from
- More problems

## Stack frame overflow



## Overwriting adjacent objects

- Forward or backward on stack
  - Other local variables, arguments
- Fields within a structure
- Global variables
- Other heap objects

## Overwriting metadata

- On stack:
  - Return address
  - Saved registers, incl. frame pointer
- On heap:
  - Size and location of adjacent blocks

## Double free

- Passing the same pointer value to free more than once
- More dangerous the more other heap operations occur in between

## Use after free

- AKA use of a *dangling pointer*
- Could overwrite heap metadata
- Or, access data with confused type

## Outline

Vulnerabilities in OS interaction

Low-level view of memory

Logistics announcements

Basic memory-safety problems

Where overflows come from

More problems

## Library funcs: unusable

- `gets` writes unlimited data into supplied buffer
- No way to use safely (unless stdin trusted)
- Finally removed in C11 standard

## Library funcs: dangerous

- Big three unchecked string functions
  - `strcpy(dest, src)`
  - `strcat(dest, src)`
  - `sprintf(buf, fmt, ...)`
- Must know lengths in advance to use safely (complicated for `sprintf`)
- Similar pattern in other funcs returning a string

## Library funcs: bounded

- Just add "n":
  - `strncpy(dest, src, n)`
  - `strncat(dest, src, n)`
  - `snprintf(buf, size, fmt, ...)`
- Tricky points:
  - Buffer size vs. max characters to write
  - Failing to terminate
  - `strncpy` zero-fill

## More library attempts

- OpenBSD `strlcpy`, `strlcat`
  - Easier to use safely than "n" versions
  - Non-standard, but widely copied
- Microsoft-pushed `strcpy_s`, etc.
  - Now standardized in C11, but not in glibc
  - Runtime checks that abort
- Compute size and use `memcpy`
- C++ `std::string`, `glib`, etc.

## Still a problem: truncation

- ❑ Unexpectedly dropping characters from the end of strings may still be a vulnerability
- ❑ E.g., if attacker pads paths with `////////` or `././././.`
- ❑ Avoiding length limits is best, if implemented correctly

- ## Even more buffer/size mistakes
- ❏ Inconsistent code changes (use `sizeof`)
  - ❏ Misuse of `sizeof` (e.g., on pointer)
  - ❏ Bytes vs. wide chars (UCS-2) vs. multibyte chars (UTF-8)
  - ❏ OS length limits (or lack thereof)

## Even more buffer/size mistakes

- ❏ Inconsistent code changes (use `sizeof`)
- ❏ Misuse of `sizeof` (e.g., on pointer)
- ❏ Bytes vs. wide chars (UCS-2) vs. multibyte chars (UTF-8)
- ❏ OS length limits (or lack thereof)

- ## Even more buffer/size mistakes
- ❏ Inconsistent code changes (use `sizeof`)
  - ❏ Misuse of `sizeof` (e.g., on pointer)
  - ❏ Bytes vs. wide chars (UCS-2) vs. multibyte chars (UTF-8)
  - ❏ OS length limits (or lack thereof)

## Off-by-one bugs

- ❑ `strlen` does not include the terminator
- ❑ Comparison with `<` vs. `<=`
- ❑ Length vs. last index
- ❑ `x++` VS. `++x`

- ## Other array problems
- ❏ Missing/wrong bounds check
    - One unsigned comparison suffices
    - Two signed comparisons needed
  - ❏ Beware of clever loops
    - Premature optimization

## Other array problems

- ❏ Missing/wrong bounds check
  - One unsigned comparison suffices
  - Two signed comparisons needed
- ❏ Beware of clever loops
  - Premature optimization

- ## Other array problems
- ❏ Missing/wrong bounds check
    - One unsigned comparison suffices
    - Two signed comparisons needed
  - ❏ Beware of clever loops
    - Premature optimization

# Outline

- Vulnerabilities in OS interaction
- Low-level view of memory
- Logistics announcements
- Basic memory-safety problems
- Where overflows come from
- More problems**

# Outline

- Vulnerabilities in OS interaction
- Low-level view of memory
- Logistics announcements
- Basic memory-safety problems
- Where overflows come from
- More problems**

# Outline

- Vulnerabilities in OS interaction
- Low-level view of memory
- Logistics announcements
- Basic memory-safety problems
- Where overflows come from
- More problems**

# Outline

- Vulnerabilities in OS interaction
- Low-level view of memory
- Logistics announcements
- Basic memory-safety problems
- Where overflows come from
- More problems**

# Outline

- Vulnerabilities in OS interaction
- Low-level view of memory
- Logistics announcements
- Basic memory-safety problems
- Where overflows come from
- More problems**

# Outline

- Vulnerabilities in OS interaction
- Low-level view of memory
- Logistics announcements
- Basic memory-safety problems
- Where overflows come from
- More problems**

# Outline

- Vulnerabilities in OS interaction
- Low-level view of memory
- Logistics announcements
- Basic memory-safety problems
- Where overflows come from
- More problems**

## Integer overflow

- Fixed size result  $\neq$  math result
- Sum of two positive ints negative or less than addend
- Also multiplication, left shift, etc.
- Negation of most-negative value
- $(\text{low} + \text{high})/2$

- ## Integer overflow
- Fixed size result  $\neq$  math result
  - Sum of two positive ints negative or less than addend
  - Also multiplication, left shift, etc.
  - Negation of most-negative value
  - $(\text{low} + \text{high})/2$

## Integer overflow example

```
int n = read_int();
obj *p = malloc(n * sizeof(obj));
for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
    p[i] = read_obj();
```

## Signed and unsigned

- Unsigned gives more range for, e.g., `size_t`
- At machine level, many but not all operations are the same
- Most important difference: ordering
- In C, signed overflow is **undefined behavior**

## Mixing integer sizes

- Complicated rules for implicit conversions
  - Also includes signed vs. unsigned
- Generally, convert before operation:
  - E.g., `1ULL << 63`
- Sign-extend vs. zero-extend
  - `char c = 0xff; (int)c`

## Null pointers

- Vanilla null dereference is usually non-exploitable (just a DoS)
- But not if there could be an offset (e.g., field of struct)
- And not in the kernel if an untrusted user has allocated the zero page

## Undefined behavior

- C standard “undefined behavior”: **anything** could happen
- Can be unexpectedly bad for security
- Most common problem: compiler optimizes assuming undefined behavior cannot happen

## Linux kernel example

```
struct sock *sk = tun->sk;
// ...
if (!tun)
    return POLLERR;
// more uses of tun and sk
```



## Format strings

- `printf` format strings are a little interpreter
- `printf(fmt)` with untrusted `fmt` lets the attacker program it
- Allows:
  - Dumping stack contents
  - Denial of service
  - Arbitrary memory modifications!

## Next time

- Exploitation techniques for these vulnerabilities