## Planning (Ch. 10)



## Planning


Planning is doing a sequence of actions to achieve one or more goals

This differs from search in that there are often multiple objectives that must be done

You can always reduce a planning problem to a search problem, but this is quite often very expensive

#### Search

Search: How to get from point A to point B quickly? (Only considering traveling)



## Planning

Planning: multiple tasks/subtasks need to be done and in what order? (pack, travel, unpack)




## Search vs planning

Searching: finding a single goal


Planning: must complete multiple tasks on the

way to an ultimate goal

Search:



Plan:



## Planning: definitions

The book uses Planning Domain Definition Language (PDDL) to represent states/actions

PDDL is very similar to first order logic in terms of notation (states are now similar to what our knowledge base was)

The large difference is that we need to define actions to move between states

## Planning: assumptions

We make the same 3 assumptions as FO logic: 1. Objects are unique (i.e.  $\neg(Bob = Jack)$ )

- 2. All un-said sentences are false Thus if I only say: Brother(James, Bob) I also imply:  $\neg Brother(James, Jack)$
- 3. Only objects I have specified exists (i.e. There is no Davis object unless I explicitly use it at some point)

## Planning: actions

```
State = BKnight(D, 8) \land BPawn(C, 7)
  \land BKing(D,7) \land WPawn(B,6)
  \land WKnight(C,6) \land WRook(E,6) \land ... \land Turn(Black)
Apply: MoveBKnight(D, 8)
State = BKnight(F,7) \land BPawn(C,7)
\land BKing(D,7) \land WPawn(B,6)
```

 $\land Turn(White)$ 

 $\land WKnight(C,6) \land WRook(E,6) \land ...$ 

## Planning: state

A state is all of the facts ANDed together in FO logic, but are not allowed to have:

- 1. Variables(otherwise it would not be specific)
- 2. Functions (just replace them with objects)
- 3. Negations (as we assume everything not

mentioned is false)
State =  $BKnight(D, 8) \land BPawn(C, 7)$ 

 $\land BKing(D,7) \land WPawn(B,6)$ 

 $\land WKnight(C,6) \land WRook(E,6) \land ...$ 

 $\land Turn(Black)$ 

## Planning: actions

#### Actions have three parts:

- 1. Name (similar to a function call)
- 2. Precondition (requirements to use action)
- 3. Effect (unmentioned states do not change) For example:

Action (MoveBKnight1(x, y),

Precondition:  $BKnight(x, y) \wedge Turn(Black)$ ,

Effect:  $\neg BKnight(x,y) \land BKnight(x+2,y-1)$ 

 $\land \neg Turn(Black) \land Turn(White)$ 

remove black's turn

## Planning: actions

```
State = BKnight(D, 8) \land BPawn(C, 7)
  \land BKing(D,7) \land WPawn(B,6)
  \land WKnight(C,6) \land WRook(E,6) \land ... \land Turn(Black)
Apply: MoveBKnight(D, 8)
State = BKnight(F,7) \land BPawn(C,7)
\land BKing(D,7) \land WPawn(B,6)
```

 $\land Turn(White)$ 

 $\land WKnight(C,6) \land WRook(E,6) \land ...$ 

## Planning: example

Let's look at a grocery store example:

Objects = store locations and food items  $Goal = At(Checkout) \land Cart(Milk) \land Cart(Apples)$ 

 $\land Cart(Eggs) \land Cart(ToiletPaper) \land Cart(Bananas)$ 

 $\land Cart(Bread) \land \neg Cart(Candy)$ 

Aisle 1 = Milk, Eggs Aisle 2 = Apples, Bananas Aisle 3 = Bread, Candy, ToiletPaper



## Planning: example

Action (GoTo(x,y),

Precondition: At(x),

Effect:  $\neg At(x) \land At(y)$  Effect: Cart(Apples)

Action (AddMilk(),

Precondition: At(Aisle1), Precondition: At(Aisle2),

Effect: Cart(Milk))

Action (AddEggs),

Effect: Cart(Eggs))

Action (AddCandy(),

Precondition: At(Aisle3), Precondition: At(Aisle3),

Effect: Cart(Candy))

Action (AddApples(),

Precondition: At(Aisle2),

Action (AddBananas),

Effect: Cart(Bananas))

Action (AddBread(),

Precondition: At(Aisle1), Precondition: At(Aisle3),

Effect: Cart(Bread))

Action (AddToiletPaper(),

Effect: Cart(ToiletPaper))

## Planning: example

```
Initial state = At(Door)
```

A possible solution:

```
1. GoTo(Aisle1) 2. Add(Milk)
```

9. GoTo(Checkout)

Not most efficient, but goal reached

## Planning: decidability

Since our planning is similar to FO logic, it is unsurprisingly semi-decidable as well

Thus, in general you will be able to find a solution if it exists, but possibly be unable to tell if a solution does not exist

If there are no functions or we know the goal can be found in a finite number of steps, then it is decidable

## Planning: actions

If we treat the current state like a knowledge base and actions with  $\forall$ s for every variable...


"state entails Precondition(A)" means action A's preconditions are met for the state

Thus if each action uses v variables, each with k possible values, there are  $O(k^v)$  actions (we can ignore actions that do not change the current state in some cases)

## Planning: difficulty

PlanSAT tells whether a solution exists or not, but takes PSPACE to tell

If negative preconditions are not allowed, we find a solution in P, and optimal in NP-hard



## Planning: algorithms


Again similar to FO logic, there are two basic algorithms you can use to try and plan:

- 1. Forward search similar to BFS and check all states you can find in 1 action, then 2 actions, then 3... until you find the goal state
- 2. Backward search start at goal and try to work backwards to initial state

Forward search is a brute force search that finds all possible states you can end up in

Each action is tested on each state currently known and is repeated until the goal is found

This can be quite costly, as actions that do not lead to the goal could be repeatedly explored (we will see a way to improve this)



## Forward search Action(GoTo(x, y, z),

Precondition:  $At(x,y) \wedge Mobile(x)$ ,

Effect:  $\neg At(x,y) \land At(x,z)$ You try it!

Initial: At(Truck, UPSD) ^ Package(UPSD, P1)

^ Package(UPSD, P2) ^ Mobile(Truck) Goal: Package(H1, P1) ^ Package(H2, P2)

Action (Load(m, x, y),

Precondition:  $At(m, y) \wedge Package(y, x)$ ,


Effect:  $\neg Package(y, x) \land Package(m, x) \land At(m, y)$ 

Action (Deliver(m, x, y),


Precondition:  $At(m, y) \wedge Package(m, x)$ ,

Effect:  $\neg Package(m, x) \land Package(y, x) \land At(m, y)$ 

#### $At(Truck, UPSD) \land Package(UPSD, P1)$ $\land Package(UPSD, P2) \land Mobile(Truck)$











#### $At(Truck, UPSD) \land Package(UPSD, P1)$ $\land Package(UPSD, P2) \land Mobile(Truck)$









Action (Load(m, x, y),


Precondition:  $At(m, y) \wedge Package(y, x)$ ,

Effect:  $\neg Package(y, x) \land Package(m, x)$ )






## $At(Truck, UPSD) \land Package(UPSD, P1) \land Package(UPSD, P2) \land Mobile(Truck)$










Find match m/Truck x/P1, y/UPSD

Action (Load(m, x, y),

Precondition:  $At(m, y) \wedge Package(y, x)$ 

Effect:  $\neg Package(y, x) \land Package(m, x)$ )





 $At(Truck, UPSD) \land Package(UPSD, PI)$ 

 $\land Package(UPSD, P2) \land Mobile(Truck)$  $\land Package(Truck, P1)$ 





Apply effects

Action (Load(Truck, P1, UPSD),


Precondition:  $At(Truck, UPSD) \wedge Package(UPSD, P1)$ ,

Effect:  $\neg Package(UPSD, P1) \land Package(Truck, P1))$ 





#### $At(Truck, UPSD) \land Package(Truck, P1)$ $\land Package(UPSD, P2) \land Mobile(Truck)$








Action (Load(Truck, P2, UPSD),

Precondition:  $At(Truck, UPSD) \land Package(UPSD, P2)$ ,

Effect:  $\neg Package(UPSD, P2) \land Package(Truck, P1)$ 






#### $At(Truck, UPSD) \land Package(Truck, P1)$ $\land Package(Truck, P2) \land Mobile(Truck)$




Action(GoTo(Truck, USPD, H1)), Precondition:  $At(Truck, USPD) \land Mobile(Truck)$ ,

Effect.  $\neg At(Truck, USPD) \land At(Truck, H1))$ 





#### $At(Truck, H1) \land Package(Truck, P1)$ $\land Package(Truck, P2) \land Mobile(Truck)$



Action (Deliver(Truck, P1, H1),


Precondition:  $At(Truck, H1) \land Package(Truck, P1)$ ,

Effect:  $\neg Package(Truck, P1) \land Package(H1, P1))$ 





#### $At(Truck, H1) \land Package(H1, P1)$ $\land Package(Truck, P2) \land Mobile(Truck)$



Action GoTo(Truck, H1, H2),


Precondition:  $At(Truck, H1) \land Mobile(Truck)$ ,

Effect:  $\neg At(Truck, H1) \land At(Truck, H2)$ )





#### $At(Truck, H2) \land Package(H1, P1)$ $\land Package(Truck, P2) \land Mobile(Truck)$



Action (Deliver(Truck, P2, H2),


Precondition:  $At(Truck, H2) \land Package(Truck, P2)$ ,

Effect:  $\neg Package(Truck, P2) \land Package(H2, P2))$ 





#### $At(Truck, H2) \land Package(H1, P1)$ $\land Package(H2, P2) \land Mobile(Truck)$









While the solution might seem obvious to us, the search space is (surprisingly) quite large

The brute force way (forward search) simply looks at all valid actions from the current state

We can then search it in using BFS (or iterative deepening) to find fewest action cost goal

GoTo(Truck, USPD) At(USPD) **←** ... At(USPD) At(H1) At(H1) can ignore At(H2) At(USPD) ^ Package(P1) **<**··· At(USPD) Load(USPD, P1, USPD)

Actions: 3 (Move, Deliver, Load)

Objects: 6 (Truck, USPD, H1, H2, P1, P2)

Min moves to goal: 6 (L, L, G, D, G, D)

Despite this problem being simplistic, the branching factor is about 4 to 5 (even with removing redundant actions)

This means we could search around 10,000 states before we found the goal

This search is actually much more than the number of states due to redundant paths

Package() can be: UPSD, Truck, H1, H2 At() can be: USPD, Truck, H1, H2, P1, P2

There are 2 packages for Package()
There is 1 truck for Truck()

So total states =  $4^2 * 6 = 96$ 

#### Backward search

#### You can convert by:

- 1. Apply effect to precondition
- 2. Negate effects, add original precondition

```
Action( Deliver(m, x, y),
Precondition: At(m, y) \land Package(m, x),
Effect: \neg Package(m, x) \land Package(y, x))
```

```
Action( Deliver(m, x, y)^{-1}, Effect overrides
Precondition: At(m, y) \land Package(m, x) \land \neg Package(m, x) \land Package(y, x)
Effect: At(m, y) \land Package(m, x) \land Package(m, x) \land \neg Package(y, x)
```

#### Redundant

### $At(Truck, H2) \land Package(H1, P1)$ $\land Package(H2, P2) \land Mobile(Truck)$



Action ( $Deliver(m, x, y)^{-1}$ ,

Precondition  $At(m,y) \land \neg Package(m,x) \land Package(y,x)$ 

Effect:  $At(m,y) \wedge Package(m,x) \wedge \neg Package(y,x)$ 



Unify: m/Truck, x/P2, y/H2



# $\begin{array}{c} At(Truck, H2) \land Package(H1, P1) \\ \land Package(H2, P2) \land Mobile(Truck) \\ \land Package(Truck, P2) \\ \land Package(Truck, P2) \end{array}$

 $(m, x, y)^{-1}$ 

Precondition:  $At(m, y) \land \neg Package(m, x) \land Package(y, x)$ )


Effect:  $At(m,y) \land Package(m,x) \land \neg Package(y,x)$ 

#### No change





#### $At(Truck, H2) \land Package(H1, P1)$ $\land Package(Truck, P2) \land Mobile(Truck)$



#### Try to continue from here!

Action (Deliver(m, x, y),

Precondition:  $At(m, y) \wedge Package(m, x)$ ,

Effect:  $\neg Package(m, x) \land Package(y, x) \land At(m, y)$ 

Action (Load(m, x, y),

Precondition:  $At(m, y) \wedge Package(y, x)$ ,

Effect:  $\neg Package(y, x) \land Package(m, x) \land At(m, y)$ 



Action( GoTo(x, y, z),

Precondition:  $At(x,y) \wedge Mobile(x)$ ,

Effect:  $\neg At(x,y) \wedge At(x,z)$ 

