CSci 5271
Introduction to Computer Security
Web security, part 2

Stephen McCamant
University of Minnesota, Computer Science & Engineering

Outline

JavaScript and the DOM

- JavaScript (JS) is a dynamically-typed prototype-OO language
  - No real similarity with Java
- Document Object Model (DOM): lets JS interact with pages and the browser
- Extensive security checks for untrusted-code model

Same-origin policy

- *Origin* is a tuple (scheme, host, port)
  - E.g., (http, www.umn.edu, 80)
- Basic JS rule: interaction is allowed only with the same origin
- Different sites are (mostly) isolated applications

GET, POST, and cookies

- `GET` request loads a URL, may have parameters delimited with `?`, `&`, `=`
  - Standard: should not have side-effects
- `POST` request originally for forms
  - Can be larger, more hidden, have side-effects
- *Cookie*: small token chosen by server, sent back on subsequent requests to same domain

User and attack models

- "Web attacker" owns their own site (`www.attacker.com`)
  - And users sometimes visit it
  - Realistic reasons: ads, SEO
- "Network attacker" can view and sniff unencrypted data
  - Unprotected coffee shop WiFi

Outline

Relational model and SQL

- Relational databases have *tables* with *rows* and single-typed *columns*
- Used in web sites (and elsewhere) to provide scalable persistent storage
- Allow complex *queries* in a declarative language SQL

Example SQL queries

- `SELECT name, grade FROM Students WHERE grade < 60 ORDER BY name;`
- `UPDATE Votes SET count = count + 1 WHERE candidate = 'John';`

Template: injection attacks

- Your program interacts with an interpreted language
- Untrusted data can be passed to the interpreter
- Attack data can break parsing assumptions and execute arbitrary commands

SQL + injection

- Why is this named most critical web app. risk?
- Easy mistake to make systematically
- Can be easy to exploit
- Database often has high-impact contents
  - E.g., logins or credit cards on commerce site

Strings do not respect syntax

- Key problem: assembling commands as strings
- `"WHERE name = '$name';"`
- Looks like `$name` is a string
- Try `$name = "me' OR grade > 80; --"`

Using tautologies

- Tautology: formula that's always true
- Often convenient for attacker to see a whole table
- Classic: `OR 1=1`

Non-string interfaces

- Best fix: avoid constructing queries as strings
- SQL mechanism: prepared statement
  - Original motivation was performance
- Web languages/frameworks often provide other syntax

Retain functionality: escape

- *Sanitizing* data is transforming it to prevent an attack
- *Escaped* data is encoded to match language rules for literal
  - E.g., `\"` and `\n` in C
- But many pitfalls for the unwary:
  - Differences in escape syntax between servers
  - Must use right escape for context: not everything's a string

Lazy sanitization: allow-listing

- Allow only things you know to be safe/intended
- Error or delete anything else
- Short allow-list is easy and relatively easy to secure
- E.g., digits only for non-negative integer
- But, tends to break benign functionality

Poor idea: deny-listing

- Space of possible attacks is endless, don't try to think of them all
- Want to guess how many more comment formats SQL has?
- Particularly silly: denying `1=1`

Attacking without the program

- Often web attacks don't get to see the program
  - Not even binary, it's on the server
- Surmountable obstacle:
  - Guess natural names for columns
  - Harvest information from error messages

Blind SQL injection

- Attacking with almost no feedback
- Common: only "error" or "no error"
- One bit channel you can make yourself: if (x) delay 10 seconds
- Trick to remember: go one character at a time

Injection beyond SQL

- XPath/XQuery: queries on XML data
- LDAP: queries used for authentication
- Shell commands: example from Ex. 1
- More web examples to come

Outline

Per-website authentication

- Many web sites implement their own login systems
  - \+ If users pick unique passwords, little systemic risk
  - – Inconvenient, many will reuse passwords
  - – Lots of functionality each site must implement correctly
  - – Without enough framework support, many possible pitfalls

Building a session

- HTTP was originally stateless, but many sites want stateful login sessions
- Built by tying requests together with a shared session ID
- Must protect confidentiality and integrity

Session ID: what

- Must not be predictable
  - Not a sequential counter
- Should ensure freshness
  - E.g., limited validity window
- If encoding data in ID, must be unforgeable
  - E.g., data with properly used MAC
  - Negative example: crypt(username || server secret)

Session ID: where

- Session IDs in URLs are prone to leaking
  - Including via user cut-and-paste
- Usual choice: non-persistent cookie
  - Against network attacker, must send only under HTTPS
- Because of CSRF (coming up), should also have a non-cookie unique ID

Session management

- Create new session ID on each login
- Invalidate session on logout
- Invalidate after timeout
  - Usability / security tradeoff
  - Needed to protect users who fail to log out from public browsers

Outline

XSS: HTML/JS injection

- Note: CSS is "Cascading Style Sheets"
- Another use of injection template
- Attacker supplies HTML containing JavaScript (or occasionally CSS)
- OWASP's most prevalent weakness in 2017
  - A category unto itself
  - Easy to commit in any dynamic page construction

Why XSS is bad (and named that)

- `attacker.com` can send you evil JS directly
- But XSS allows access to `bank.com` data
- Violates same-origin policy
- Not all attacks actually involve multiple sites

Reflected XSS

- Injected data used immediately in producing a page
- Commonly supplied as query/form parameters
- Classic attack is link from evil site to victim site

Persistent XSS

- Injected data used to produce page later
- For instance, might be stored in database
- Can be used by one site user to attack another user
  - E.g., to gain administrator privilege

DOM-based XSS

- Injection occurs in client-side page construction
- Flaw at least partially in code running on client
- Many attacks involve mashups and inter-site communication

No string-free solution

- For server-side XSS, no way to avoid string concatenation
- Web page will be sent as text in the end
  - This is the only standard interface
- XSS is an especially hard kind of injection

Danger: complex language embedding

- JS and CSS are complex languages in their own right
- Can appear in various places with HTML
  - But totally different parsing rules
- Example: `"..."` used for HTML attributes and JS strings
  - What happens when attribute contains JS?

Danger: forgiving parsers

- History: handwritten HTML, browser competition
- Many syntax mistakes given "likely" interpretations
- Handling of incorrect syntax was not standardized

Sanitization: plain text only

- Easiest case: no tags intended, insert at document text level
- Escape HTML special characters with *entities* like `<` for <
- OWASP recommendation: `& < > " ' /`

Sanitization: context matters

- An OWASP document lists 5 places in a web page you might insert text
  - For the rest, "don't do that"
- Each one needs a very different kind of escaping

Sanitization: tag whitelisting

- In some applications, want to allow benign markup like `<b>`
- But, even benign tags can have JS attributes
- Handling well essentially requires an HTML parser
  - But with an adversarial-oriented design

Don't deny-list

- Browser capabilities continue to evolve
- Attempts to list all bad constructs inevitably incomplete
- Even worse for XSS than other injection attacks

Filter failure: one-pass delete

- Simple idea: remove all occurrences of `<script>`
- What happens to `<scr<script>ipt>`?

Filter failure: UTF-7

- You may have heard of UTF-8
  - Encode Unicode as 8-bit bytes
- UTF-7 is similar but uses only ASCII
- Encoding can be specified in a `<meta>` tag, or some browsers will guess
- `+ADw-script+AD4-`

Filter failure: event handlers

```
<IMG onmouseover="alert('xss')">
```

- Put this on something the user will be tempted to click on
- There are more than 100 handlers like this recognized by various browsers

Use good libraries

- Coding your own defenses will never work
- Take advantage of known good implementations
- Best case: already built into your framework
  - Disappointingly rare

Content Security Policy

- New HTTP header, W3C candidate recommendation
- Lets site opt-in to stricter treatment of embedded content, such as:
  - No inline JS, only loaded from separate URLs
  - Disable JS `eval` et al.
- Has an interesting violation-reporting mode

Outline

HTTP header injection

- Untrusted data included in response headers
- Can include CRLF and new headers, or premature end to headers
- AKA "response splitting"

Content sniffing

- Browsers determine file type from headers, extension, and content-based guessing
  - Latter two for ~ 1% server errors
- Many sites host "untrusted" images and media
- Inconsistencies in guessing lead to a kind of XSS
  - E.g., "chimera" PNG-HTML document

Cross-site request forgery

- Certain web form on `bank.com` used to wire money
- Link or script on `evil.com` loads it with certain parameters
  - Linking is exception to same-origin
- If I'm logged in, money sent automatically
- Confused deputy, cookies are ambient authority

CSRF prevention

- Give site's forms random-nonce tokens
  - E.g., in POST hidden fields
  - Not in a cookie, that's the whole point
- Reject requests without proper token
  - Or, ask user to re-authenticate
- XSS can be used to steal CSRF tokens

Open redirects

- Common for one page to redirect clients to another
- Target should be validated
  - With authentication check if appropriate
- *Open redirect*: target supplied in parameter with no checks
  - Doesn't directly hurt the hosting site
  - But reputation risk, say if used in phishing
  - We teach users to trust by site

Outline

Site perspective

- Protect confidentiality of authenticators
  - Passwords, session cookies, CSRF tokens
- Duty to protect some customer info
  - Personally identifying info ("identity theft")
  - Credit-card info (Payment Card Industry Data Security Standards)
  - Health care (HIPAA), education (FERPA)
  - Whatever customers reasonably expect

You need to use SSL

- Finally coming around to view that more sites need to support HTTPS
  - Special thanks to WiFi, NSA
- If you take credit cards (of course)
- If you ask users to log in
  - Must be protecting something, right?
  - Also important for users of Tor et al.

Server-side encryption

- Also consider encrypting data "at rest"
- (Or, avoid storing it at all)
- Provides defense in depth
  - Reduce damage after another attack
- May be hard to truly separate keys
  - OWASP example: public key for website → backend credit card info

Adjusting client behavior

- HTTPS and `password` fields are basic hints
- Consider disabling autocomplete
  - Usability tradeoff, save users from themselves
  - Finally standardized in HTML5
- Consider disabling caching
  - Performance tradeoff
  - Better not to have this on user's disk
  - Or proxy? You need SSL

User vs. site perspective

- User privacy goals can be opposed to site goals
- Such as in tracking for advertisements
- Browser makers can find themselves in the middle
  - Of course, differ in institutional pressures

Third party content / web bugs

- Much tracking involves sites other than the one in the URL bar
  - For fun, check where your cookies are coming from
- Various levels of cooperation
- *Web bugs* are typically 1x1 images used only for tracking

Cookies arms race

- Privacy-sensitive users like to block and/or delete cookies
- Sites have various reasons to retain identification
- Various workarounds:
  - Similar features in Flash and HTML5
  - Various channels related to the cache
  - *Evercookie*: store in `n` places, regenerate if subset are deleted

Browser fingerprinting

- Combine various server or JS-visible attributes passively
  - User agent string (10 bits)
  - Window/screen size (4.83 bits)
  - Available fonts (13.9 bits)
  - Plugin verions (15.4 bits)

(Data from `panopticlick.eff.org`, far from exhaustive)

History stealing

- History of what sites you've visited is not supposed to be JS-visible
- But, many side-channel attacks have been possible
  - Query link color
  - CSS style with external image for visited links
  - Slow-rendering timing channel
  - Harvesting bitmaps
  - User perception (e.g. fake CAPTCHA)

Browser and extension choices

- More aggressive privacy behavior lives in extensions
  - Disabling most JavaScript (NoScript)
  - HTTPS Everywhere (allow-list)
  - Tor Browser Bundle
- Default behavior is much more controversial
  - Concern not to kill advertising support as an economic model

Outline

Misconfiguration problems

- Default accounts
- Unneeded features
- Framework behaviors
  - Don't automatically create variables from query fields

Openness tradeoffs

- Error reporting
  - Few benign users want to see a stack backtrace
- Directory listings
  - Hallmark of the old days
- Readable source code of scripts
  - Doesn't have your DB password in it, does it?

Using vulnerable components

- Large web apps can use a lot of third-party code
- Convenient for attackers too
  - OWASP: two popular vulnerable components downloaded 22m times
- Hiding doesn't work if it's popular
- Stay up to date on security announcements

Clickjacking

- Fool users about what they're clicking on
  - Circumvent security confirmations
  - Fabricate ad interest
- Example techniques:
  - Frame embedding
  - Transparency
  - Spoof cursor
  - Temporal "bait and switch"

Crawling and scraping

- A lot of web content is free-of-charge, but proprietary
  - Yours in a certain context, if you view ads, etc.
- Sites don't want it downloaded automatically (*web crawling*)
- Or parsed and user for another purpose (*screen scraping*)
- High-rate or honest access detectable