## A few applications of the SVD

Many methods require to approximate the original data (matrix) by a low rank matrix before attempting to solve the original problem

Regularization methods require the solution of a least-squares linear system Ax = b approximately in the dominant singular space of A

The Latent Semantic Indexing (LSI) method in information retrieval, performs the "query" in the dominant singular space of A

► Methods utilizing Principal Component Analysis, e.g. Face Recognition.

**Commonality:** Approximate A (or  $A^{\dagger}$ ) by a lower rank approximation  $A_k$  (using dominant singular space) before solving original problem.

This approximation captures the main features of the data while getting rid of noise and redundancy

- *Note:* Common misconception: 'we need to reduce dimension in order to reduce computational cost'. In reality: using less information often yields better results. This is the problem of overfitting.
- Good illustration: Information Retrieval (IR)



 $\blacktriangleright$  Queries ('pseudo-documents') q are represented similarly to a column

Literal matching – not very effective.

11-4

(articles) – SVDapp

(articles) – SVDapp

## Use of the SVD

> Many problems with literal matching: *polysemy*, *synonymy*, ...

Need to extract intrinsic information – or underlying "semantic" information –

Solution (LSI): replace matrix A by a low rank approximation using the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

 $A = U \Sigma V^T \quad 
ightarrow \quad A_k = U_k \Sigma_k V_k^T$ 

- $\succ$   $U_k$ : term space,  $V_k$ : document space.
- > Refer to this as Truncated SVD (TSVD) approach

New similarity vector:

$$s_k = A_k^T q = V_k \Sigma_k U_k^T q$$

### Issues:

- > Problem 1: How to select k?
- Problem 2: computational cost (memory + computation)
- > Problem 3: updates [e.g. google data changes all the time]
- > Not practical for very large sets

11-5	11-6 (articles) – SVDapp 11-6		
<pre>LSI : an example // D1 : INFANT &amp; TODLER first aid // D2 : BABIES &amp; CHILDREN's room for your HOME // D3 : CHILD SAFETY at HOME // D4 : Your BABY's HEALTH and SAFETY . From INFANT to TODDLER // D5 : BABY PROOFING basics // D6 : Your GUIDE to easy rust PROOFING // D7 : Beanie BABIES collector's GUIDE // D8 : SAFETY GUIDE for CHILD PROOFING your HOME // TERMS: 1:BABY 2:CHILD 3:GUIDE 4:HEALTH 5:HOME 6:INFANT 7:PROOFING 8:SAFETY 9:TODDLER // Source: Berry and Browne, SIAM., '99</pre>	$ A = \begin{bmatrix} d1 & d2 & d3 & d4 & d5 & d6 & d7 & d8 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & bab \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & bab \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & chi \\ & & 1 & 1 & 1 & gui \\ & & 1 & 1 & 1 & gui \\ 1 & 1 & & & 1 & hom \\ 1 & & 1 & & & inf \\ & & & 1 & 1 & 1 & pro \\ 1 & 1 & & & 1 & saf \\ 1 & & 1 & & & tod \end{bmatrix} $		
<ul> <li>Number of documents: 8</li> <li>Number of terms: 9</li> </ul>	Get the anwser to the query Child Safety, so $q = [0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0]$ using cosines and then using LSI with $k = 3$ . (articles) - SVDapp		

### **Dimension** reduction

Dimensionality Reduction (DR) techniques pervasive to many applications

- > Often main goal of dimension reduction is not to reduce computational cost. Instead:
- Dimension reduction used to reduce noise and redundancy in data
- Dimension reduction used to discover patterns (e.g., supervised learning)
- > Techniques depend on desirable features or application: Preserve angles? Preserve distances? Maximize variance? ...





 $\blacktriangleright$  Given  $d \ll m$  find a mapping  $\Phi: x \in \mathbb{R}^m \longrightarrow y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ Mapping may be explicit (e.g.,  $y = V^T x$ > Or implicit (nonlinear)



(articles) – SVDapp

# Practically:

11-10

Find a low-dimensional representation  $Y \in$  $\mathbb{R}^{d imes n}$  of  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{m imes n}$ .

Two classes of methods: (1) projection techniques and (2) nonlinear implicit methods.

11-10





11-12

### Projection-based Dimensionality Reduction

*Given:* a data set  $X = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ , and d the dimension of the desired reduced space Y.

#### *Want:* a linear transformation from X to Y



 $\blacktriangleright$  m-dimens. objects  $(x_i)$  'flattened' to d-dimens. space  $(y_i)$ 

**Problem:** Find the best such mapping (optimization) given that the  $y_i$ 's must satisfy certain constraints

11-13

**L**<sub>12</sub> Show that  $\bar{X} = X(I - \frac{1}{n}ee^T)$  (here e = vector of all ones). What does the projector  $(I - \frac{1}{n}ee^T)$  do?

 $\measuredangle_{13}$  Show that solution V also minimizes 'reconstruction error' ...

$$\sum_i \|ar{x}_i - VV^Tar{x}_i\|^2 = \sum_i \|ar{x}_i - Var{y}_i\|^2$$

11-15

 $\llbracket_{i,j}$  .. and that it also maximizes  $\sum_{i,j} \|y_i - y_j\|^2$ 

### Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

> PCA: find V (orthogonal) so that projected data  $Y = V^T X$  has maximum variance

> Maximize over all orthogonal  $m \times d$  matrices V:

$$\sum_i \|y_i - rac{1}{n}\sum_j y_j\|_2^2 = \dots = ext{Tr} \left[V^ op ar{X}ar{X}^ op V
ight],$$

Where: 
$$oldsymbol{X} = [ar{x}_1, \cdots, ar{x}_n]$$
 with  $ar{x}_i = x_i - \mu$ ,  $\mu =$  mean.

Solution:

11-14

11-16

 $V = \{$  dominant eigenvectors  $\}$  of the covariance matrix

 $\succ$  i.e., Optimal V = Set of left singular vectors of  $\bar{X}$  associated with d largest singular values.

11-14

(articles) – SVDapp

(articles) – SVDapp

## Matrix Completion Problem

Consider a table of movie ratings. You want to predict missing ratings by assuming commonality (low rank matrix).

given data			predictions				
movie	Paul	Jane	Ann	Paul	Jane	Ann	
Title-1	-1	3	-1	-1.2	1.7	-0.7	
Title-2	4	x	3	2.8	-1.2	2.5	
Title-3	-3	1	-4	-2.7	1.0	-2.5	
Title-4	x	-1	-1	-0.5	-0.3	-0.6	
Title-5	3	-2	1	1.8	-1.4	1.4	
Title-6	-2	3	x	-1.6	1.8	-1.2	
	A			X			
11 ( 77							

 $\blacktriangleright \text{ Minimize } \|(X - A)_{\text{mask}}\|_F^2 + 4\|X\|_*$ 

"minimize sum-of-squares of deviations from known ratings plus sum of singular values of solution (to reduce the rank)."

11-15

11-13

(articles) – SVDapp

(articles) – SVDapp

11-16