

## EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS

- Background on eigenvalues/ eigenvectors / decompositions
- Perturbation analysis, condition numbers..
- Power method
- The QR algorithm
- Practical QR algorithms: use of Hessenberg form and shifts
- The symmetric eigenvalue problem.

12-1

## *Eigenvalue Problems. Introduction*

Let  $A$  an  $n \times n$  real nonsymmetric matrix. The eigenvalue problem:

$$Ax = \lambda x$$

$\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  : eigenvalue

$x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  : eigenvector

### *Types of Problems:*

- Compute a few  $\lambda_i$  's with smallest or largest real parts;
- Compute all  $\lambda_i$ 's in a certain region of  $\mathbb{C}$ ;
- Compute a few of the dominant eigenvalues;
- Compute all  $\lambda_i$ 's.

12-2

TB: 24-27; AB: 3.1-3.3;GvL 7.1-7.4,7.5.2 – Eigen

12-2

## *Eigenvalue Problems. Their origins*

- Structural Engineering [ $Ku = \lambda Mu$ ]
- Stability analysis [e.g., electrical networks, mechanical system,..]
- Bifurcation analysis [e.g., in fluid flow]
- Electronic structure calculations [Schrödinger equation..]
- Application of new era: page ranking on the world-wide web.

12-3

TB: 24-27; AB: 3.1-3.3;GvL 7.1-7.4,7.5.2 – Eigen

12-3

## *Basic definitions and properties*

A complex scalar  $\lambda$  is called an **eigenvalue** of a square matrix  $A$  if there exists a nonzero vector  $u$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $Au = \lambda u$ . The vector  $u$  is called an **eigenvector** of  $A$  associated with  $\lambda$ . The set of all eigenvalues of  $A$  is the '**spectrum**' of  $A$ . Notation:  $\Lambda(A)$ .

- $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue iff the columns of  $A - \lambda I$  are linearly dependent.
- ... equivalent to saying that its rows are linearly dependent. So: there is a nonzero vector  $w$  such that

$$w^H(A - \lambda I) = 0$$

- $w$  is a **left** eigenvector of  $A$  ( $u =$  **right** eigenvector)
- $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue iff  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$

12-4

TB: 24-27; AB: 3.1-3.3;GvL 7.1-7.4,7.5.2 – Eigen

12-4

## Basic definitions and properties (cont.)

- An eigenvalue is a root of the **Characteristic polynomial**:

$$p_A(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I)$$

- So there are  $n$  eigenvalues (counted with their multiplicities).
- The multiplicity of these eigenvalues as roots of  $p_A$  are called **algebraic multiplicities**.
- The **geometric multiplicity** of an eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$  is the number of linearly independent eigenvectors associated with  $\lambda_i$ .

- Geometric multiplicity is  $\leq$  algebraic multiplicity.
- An eigenvalue is **simple** if its (algebraic) multiplicity is one.
- It is **semi-simple** if its geometric and algebraic multiplicities are equal.

☞ Consider

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & -4 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Eigenvalues of  $A$ ? their algebraic multiplicities? their geometric multiplicities? Is one a semi-simple eigenvalue?

☞ Same questions if  $a_{33}$  is replaced by one.

☞ Same questions if  $a_{12}$  is replaced by zero.

- Two matrices  $A$  and  $B$  are **similar** if there exists a nonsingular matrix  $X$  such that

$$A = XBX^{-1}$$

**Definition:**  $A$  is **diagonalizable** if it is similar to a diagonal matrix

- **THEOREM:** A matrix is diagonalizable iff it has  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors

- ... iff all its eigenvalues are semi-simple
- ... iff its eigenvectors form a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$
- $Av = \lambda v \iff B(X^{-1}v) = \lambda(X^{-1}v)$   
eigenvalues remain the same, eigenvectors transformed.

## Other Transformations Preserving Eigenstructure

**Shift**  $B = A - \sigma I: Av = \lambda v \iff Bv = (\lambda - \sigma)v$   
eigenvalues move, eigenvectors remain the same.

**Poly-nomial**  $B = p(A) = \alpha_0 I + \dots + \alpha_n A^n: Av = \lambda v \iff Bv = p(\lambda)v$   
eigenvalues transformed, eigenvectors remain the same.

**Invert**  $B = A^{-1}: Av = \lambda v \iff Bv = \lambda^{-1}v$   
eigenvalues inverted, eigenvectors remain the same.

**Shift & Invert**  $B = (A - \sigma I)^{-1}: Av = \lambda v \iff Bv = (\lambda - \sigma)^{-1}v$   
eigenvalues transformed, eigenvectors remain the same.  
spacing between eigenvalues can be radically changed.

► THEOREM (Schur form): Any matrix is unitarily similar to a triangular matrix, i.e., for any  $A$  there exists a unitary matrix  $Q$  and an upper triangular matrix  $R$  such that

$$A = QRQ^H$$

► Any Hermitian matrix is unitarily similar to a real diagonal matrix, (i.e. its Schur form is real diagonal).

► It is easy to read off the eigenvalues (including all the multiplicities) from the triangular matrix  $R$

► Eigenvectors can be obtained by back-solving

### Schur Form – Proof

☞ Show that there is at least one eigenvalue and eigenvector of  $A$ :  $Ax = \lambda x$ , with  $\|x\|_2 = 1$

☞ There is a unitary transformation  $P$  such that  $Px = e_1$ . How do you define  $P$ ?

☞ Show that  $PAP^H = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & ** \\ 0 & A_2 \end{pmatrix}$ .

☞ Apply process recursively to  $A_2$ .

☞ What happens if  $A$  is Hermitian?

☞ Another proof altogether: use Jordan form of  $A$  and QR factorization

### Perturbation analysis

► General questions: If  $A$  is perturbed how does an eigenvalue change? How about an eigenvector?

► Also: sensitivity of an eigenvalue to perturbations

THEOREM [Gerschgorin]

$$\forall \lambda \in \Lambda(A), \exists i \text{ such that } |\lambda - a_{ii}| \leq \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{j=n} |a_{ij}|.$$

► In words: eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is located in one of the closed discs of the complex plane centered at  $a_{ii}$  and with radius  $\rho_i = \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|$ .

**Proof:** By contradiction. If contrary is true then there is one eigenvalue  $\lambda$  that does not belong to any of the disks, i.e., such that  $|\lambda - a_{ii}| > \rho_i$  for all  $i$ . Write matrix  $A - \lambda I$  as:

$$A - \lambda I = D - \lambda I - [D - A] \equiv (D - \lambda I) - F$$

where  $D$  is the diagonal of  $A$  and  $F = D - A$  is the matrix of off-diagonal entries. Now write

$$A - \lambda I = (D - \lambda I)(I - (D - \lambda I)^{-1}F).$$

From assumptions we have  $\|(D - \lambda I)^{-1}F\|_\infty < 1$ . (Show this). The Lemma in P. 5-3 of notes would then show that  $A - \lambda I$  is nonsingular – a contradiction  $\square$

## Gerschgorin's theorem - example

Find a region of the complex plane where the eigenvalues of the following matrix are located:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -2 & -3 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Refinement: if disks are all disjoint then each of them contains one eigenvalue
- Refinement: can combine row and column version of the theorem (column version: apply theorem to  $A^H$ ).

12-13

TB: 24-27; AB: 3.1-3.3; GvL 7.1-7.4, 7.5.2 - Eigen

12-13

- Application: If  $A$  is diagonalizable,  $A = P\Lambda P^{-1}$ , with  $\Lambda =$  the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues &  $P =$  the matrix of eigenvectors, then apply Gerschgorin to  $\Lambda + P^{-1}EP = P^{-1}(A + E)P$ .
- Can apply same to block diagonalizable matrix.

12-14

TB: 24-27; AB: 3.1-3.3; GvL 7.1-7.4, 7.5.2 - Eigen

12-14

## Bauer-Fike theorem

**THEOREM [Bauer-Fike]** Let  $\tilde{\lambda}$ ,  $\tilde{u}$  be an approximate eigenpair with  $\|\tilde{u}\|_2 = 1$ , and let  $r = A\tilde{u} - \tilde{\lambda}\tilde{u}$  ('residual vector'). Assume  $A$  is diagonalizable:  $A = XDX^{-1}$ , with  $D$  diagonal. Then

$$\exists \lambda \in \Lambda(A) \text{ such that } |\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}| \leq \text{cond}_2(X) \|r\|_2.$$

- Very restrictive result - also not too sharp in general.
- Alternative formulation. If  $E$  is a perturbation to  $A$  then for any eigenvalue  $\tilde{\lambda}$  of  $A + E$  there is an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $A$  such that:

$$|\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}| \leq \text{cond}_2(X) \|E\|_2.$$

Prove this result from the previous one.

12-15

TB: 24-27; AB: 3.1-3.3; GvL 7.1-7.4, 7.5.2 - Eigen

12-15

## Conditioning of Eigenvalues

- Assume that  $\lambda$  is a simple eigenvalue with right and left eigenvectors  $u$  and  $w^H$  respectively. Consider the matrices:

$$A(t) = A + tE$$

Eigenvalue  $\lambda(t)$ ,  
Eigenvector  $u(t)$ .

- Conditioning of  $\lambda$  of  $A$  relative to  $E$  is  $\left| \frac{d\lambda(t)}{dt} \right|_{t=0}$ .
- Write  $A(t)u(t) = \lambda(t)u(t)$
- Then multiply both sides to the left by  $w^H$

$$\begin{aligned} w^H(A + tE)u(t) &= \lambda(t)w^H u(t) \rightarrow \\ \lambda(t)w^H u(t) &= w^H A u(t) + t w^H E u(t) \\ &= \lambda w^H u(t) + t w^H E u(t). \end{aligned}$$

12-16

TB: 24-27; AB: 3.1-3.3; GvL 7.1-7.4, 7.5.2 - Eigen

12-16

$$\rightarrow \frac{\lambda(t) - \lambda}{t} w^H u(t) = w^H E u(t)$$

➤ Take the limit at  $t = 0$ ,  $\lambda'(0) = \frac{w^H E u}{w^H u}$

➤ Note: the left and right eigenvectors associated with a simple eigenvalue cannot be orthogonal to each other.

➤ Actual conditioning of an eigenvalue, given a perturbation “in the direction of  $E$ ” is  $|\lambda'(0)|$ .

➤ In practice only estimate of  $\|E\|$  is available, so

$$|\lambda'(0)| \leq \frac{\|Eu\|_2 \|w\|_2}{|(u, w)|} \leq \|E\|_2 \frac{\|u\|_2 \|w\|_2}{|(u, w)|}$$

**Definition.** The condition number of a simple eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of an arbitrary matrix  $A$  is defined by

$$\text{cond}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\cos \theta(u, w)}$$

in which  $u$  and  $w^H$  are the right and left eigenvectors, respectively, associated with  $\lambda$ .

**Example:** Consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -149 & -50 & -154 \\ 537 & 180 & 546 \\ -27 & -9 & -25 \end{pmatrix}$$

➤  $\Lambda(A) = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Right and left eigenvectors associated with  $\lambda_1 = 1$ :

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} 0.3162 \\ -0.9487 \\ 0.0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} 0.6810 \\ 0.2253 \\ 0.6967 \end{pmatrix}$$

So:  $\text{cond}(\lambda_1) \approx 603.64$

➤ Perturbing  $a_{11}$  to  $-149.01$  yields the spectrum:  $\{0.2287, 3.2878, 2.4735\}$ .

➤ as expected..

➤ For Hermitian (also normal matrices) every simple eigenvalue is well-conditioned, since  $\text{cond}(\lambda) = 1$ .

*Perturbations with Multiple Eigenvalues - Example*

➤  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = I_3 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = I + 2J$

➤ Worst case perturbation is in 3,1 position: set  $J_{31} = \epsilon$ .

➤ Eigenvalues of perturbed  $A$  are the roots of  $p(\mu) = (\mu - 1)^3 - 4 \cdot \epsilon$ .

➤ Hence eigenvalues of perturbed  $A$  are  $1 + O(\sqrt[3]{\epsilon})$ .

➤ In general, if index of eigenvalue (dimension of largest Jordan block) is  $k$ , then an  $O(\epsilon)$  perturbation to  $A$  can lead to  $O(\sqrt[k]{\epsilon})$  change in eigenvalue. Simple eigenvalue case corresponds to  $k = 1$ .

## Basic algorithm: The power method

- Basic idea is to generate the sequence of vectors  $A^k v_0$  where  $v_0 \neq 0$  – then normalize.
- Most commonly used normalization: ensure that the largest component of the approximation is equal to one.

### The Power Method

1. Choose a nonzero initial vector  $v^{(0)}$ .
2. For  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , until convergence, Do:
3.  $v^{(k)} = \frac{1}{\alpha_k} A v^{(k-1)}$  where
4.  $\alpha_k = \operatorname{argmax}_{i=1, \dots, n} |(A v^{(k-1)})_i|$
5. EndDo

- $\operatorname{argmax}_{i=1, \dots, n} |x_i| \equiv$  the component  $x_i$  with largest modulus

12-21

TB: 24-27; AB: 3.1-3.3; GvL 7.1-7.4, 7.5.2 – Eigen

12-21

## Convergence of the power method

**THEOREM** Assume there is one eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  of  $A$ , s.t.  $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_j|$ , for  $j \neq 1$ , and that  $\lambda_1$  is semi-simple. Then either the initial vector  $v^{(0)}$  has no component in  $\operatorname{Null}(A - \lambda_1 I)$  or  $v^{(k)}$  converges to an eigenvector associated with  $\lambda_1$  and  $\alpha_k \rightarrow \lambda_1$ .

Proof in the diagonalizable case.

- $v^{(k)}$  is = vector  $A^k v^{(0)}$  normalized by a certain scalar  $\hat{\alpha}_k$  in such a way that its largest component is 1.

- Decompose initial vector  $v^{(0)}$  in the eigenbasis as:

$$v^{(0)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i u_i$$

- Each  $u_i$  is an eigenvector associated with  $\lambda_i$ .

12-22

TB: 24-27; AB: 3.1-3.3; GvL 7.1-7.4, 7.5.2 – Eigen

12-22

- Note that  $A^k u_i = \lambda_i^k u_i$

$$\begin{aligned} v^{(k)} &= \frac{1}{\text{scaling}} \times \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^k \gamma_i u_i \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{scaling}} \times \left[ \lambda_1^k \gamma_1 u_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i^k \gamma_i u_i \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{scaling}'} \times \left[ u_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \left( \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1} \right)^k \frac{\gamma_i}{\gamma_1} u_i \right] \end{aligned}$$

- Second term inside bracket converges to zero. QED
- Proof suggests that the convergence factor is given by

$$\rho_D = \frac{|\lambda_2|}{|\lambda_1|}$$

where  $\lambda_2$  is the second largest eigenvalue in modulus.

12-23

TB: 24-27; AB: 3.1-3.3; GvL 7.1-7.4, 7.5.2 – Eigen

12-23

**Example:** Consider a 'Markov Chain' matrix of size  $n = 55$ . Dominant eigenvalues are  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\lambda = -1$  ➤ the power method applied directly to  $A$  fails. (Why?)

- We can consider instead the matrix  $I + A$  The eigenvalue  $\lambda = 1$  is then transformed into the (only) dominant eigenvalue  $\lambda = 2$

Iteration	Norm of diff.	Res. norm	Eigenvalue
20	0.639D-01	0.276D-01	1.02591636
40	0.129D-01	0.513D-02	1.00680780
60	0.192D-02	0.808D-03	1.00102145
80	0.280D-03	0.121D-03	1.00014720
100	0.400D-04	0.174D-04	1.00002078
120	0.562D-05	0.247D-05	1.00000289
140	0.781D-06	0.344D-06	1.00000040
161	0.973D-07	0.430D-07	1.00000005

12-24

TB: 24-27; AB: 3.1-3.3; GvL 7.1-7.4, 7.5.2 – Eigen

12-24

## The Shifted Power Method

➤ In previous example shifted  $A$  into  $B = A + I$  before applying power method. We could also iterate with  $B(\sigma) = A + \sigma I$  for any positive  $\sigma$

**Example:** With  $\sigma = 0.1$  we get the following improvement.

Iteration	Norm of diff.	Res. Norm	Eigenvalue
20	0.273D-01	0.794D-02	1.00524001
40	0.729D-03	0.210D-03	1.00016755
60	0.183D-04	0.509D-05	1.00000446
80	0.437D-06	0.118D-06	1.00000011
88	0.971D-07	0.261D-07	1.00000002

12-25

TB: 24-27; AB: 3.1-3.3; GvL 7.1-7.4, 7.5.2 – Eigen

12-25

➤ **Question:** What is the best shift-of-origin  $\sigma$  to use?

➤ Easy to answer the question when all eigenvalues are real.

Assume all eigenvalues are real and labeled decreasingly:

$$\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n,$$

Then: If we shift  $A$  to  $A - \sigma I$ :

The shift  $\sigma$  that yields the best convergence factor is:

$$\sigma_{opt} = \frac{\lambda_2 + \lambda_n}{2}$$

☒ Plot a typical function  $\phi(\sigma) = \rho(A - \sigma I)$  as a function of  $\sigma$ . Determine the minimum value and prove the above result.

12-26

TB: 24-27; AB: 3.1-3.3; GvL 7.1-7.4, 7.5.2 – Eigen

12-26

## Inverse Iteration

**Observation:** The eigenvectors of  $A$  and  $A^{-1}$  are identical.

- Idea: use the power method on  $A^{-1}$ .
- Will compute the eigenvalues closest to zero.
- **Shift-and-invert** Use power method on  $(A - \sigma I)^{-1}$ .
- will compute eigenvalues closest to  $\sigma$ .
- Rayleigh-Quotient Iteration: use  $\sigma = \frac{v^T A v}{v^T v}$  (best approximation to  $\lambda$  given  $v$ ).
- Advantages: fast convergence in general.
- Drawbacks: need to factor  $A$  (or  $A - \sigma I$ ) into LU.

12-27

TB: 24-27; AB: 3.1-3.3; GvL 7.1-7.4, 7.5.2 – Eigen

12-27